



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,224	11/06/2001	Isaac B. Horton III	1300-015	6966

4678 7590 07/28/2005
MACCORD MASON PLLC
300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600
P. O. BOX 2974
GREENSBORO, NC 27402

EXAMINER

CHORBAJI, MONZER R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1744

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/008,224

Applicant(s)

HORTON, ISAAC B.

Examiner

MONZER R. CHORBAJI

Art Unit

1744

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/12/2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

16
12

DETAILED ACTION

This final action is in response to the amendment received on 05/12/2005

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "portal optics" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
2. Corrected-drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 16, line 2; the phrase "consisting of " needs to be added. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 61, line 4; applicant added the feature "non-human housing". The disclosure does not provide an explanation for such a term. Thus, the meaning is not clear as to what the applicant is referring to. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

6. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1-4, 10-14, 17, 26-27, 30, 39, 48, 52 and 61 rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goodrich, Jr. et al (U.S.P.N. 6,258,577).

With respect to claims 1, 39 and 61, the Goodrich reference discloses a blood purification system (figure 7) and a method for sterilizing microorganisms in blood (col.3, lines 50-55 and col.4, lines 1-4 where killing microorganisms is equivalent to sterilization of microorganisms) including the following: a blood purifier (rectangular structure labeled 194 in figure 7) with a housing (figure 7:164) that include an inlet and outlet (unlabeled inlet and outlet in figure 7), providing a UV light source (figure 7:160) connected by an optical connection (figure 7:162) positioned to provide a focused, controllable light output (col.7, lines 66-67, col.8, lines 1-5, col.10, lines 24-30 and col.13, lines 15-18) to the blood purifier (rectangular structure labeled 194 in figure 7), a control mechanism (col.8, lines 8-14), a UV dose zone (unlabeled inner volume within housing 164) in the housing, a non-human housing (col.9, lines 6-10), activating the UV light source (example 6) and passing the blood through the housing (figure 7:186, 164 and 188) in order to provide a sterilized blood.

With respect to claims 2-4, 10-14, 17, 26-27, 30, 48 and 52, the Goodrich reference teaches the following: the light source includes a UV lamp (figure 7:160), optic (figure 7:162), a housing (figure 7:164), a power supply (a necessary inherent feature in order for the apparatus to function), light source that includes an optical component positioned to provide a focused (col.13, lines 15-18), controllable light output (col.8, lines 1-4), light source is UV transmissive (inherent property of fiber optics to totally transmit light through internal reflection), light source is UV reflective (inherent property

Art Unit: 1744

of fiber optics whose internal core is made up of material with high refractive index), light source optical component are reflectors (inherent property of fiber optics whose internal core is made up of material with high refractive index), a fiber optic transmission line (inherent property of fiber optics to totally transmit light through internal reflection), blood purifier (rectangular structure labeled 194 in figure 7) includes a dose zone (unlabeled inner volume within housing 164) and a housing, dose zone includes a delivery device (inactivated blood at the end of the volume within the housing 164 is delivered to line 188), end-emitting fiber optic transmission lines (figure 7:unlabeled end of 162 connected to 164) and the delivery device with a planar configuration (the decontaminated blood product line has an inherent two-dimensions, which are diameter and length).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

10. Claims 5, 8, 18, 28-29, 31, 40, 50-51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodrich, Jr. et al (U.S.P.N. 6,258,577) as applied to claims 4, 17, 26, 39 and 48 and further in view of Horton et al (U.S.P.N. 6,454,937).

With respect to claims 5, 8, 18, 28-29, 40 and 50-51, the Goodrich reference fails to teach the following: UV lamp is a high-intensity lamp, UV lamp is a mercury halide lamp, housing is UV reflective, delivery device is a vertical riser configuration and the vertical riser configuration system is a scalable to applications. However, with respect to claims 5, 8, 18, 28-29, 40 and 50-51, the Horton reference, which is in the art of disinfecting fluids, teaches the following: high-intensity lamp (figure 5:62) such as mercury halide lamp (the reference teaches that various types of lamps can be used in the device, col.6, lines 15-20, such that choosing a certain conventional type is a matter of choice of design), the housing is UV reflective (within 50 there is 64 as shown in figures 4-5), the delivery device is a vertical riser configuration (figure 7:200) with intrinsic features, for example, predetermined blood flow rate, the VRC is scalable to applications (the reference teaches various design modification in col.7, lines 25-27, lines 47-49, lines 61-65 and col.5, lines 56-59 such that depends on the characteristics of the water being treated) and the delivery device is a planar configuration (figures 4-5). As a result, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of the Goodrich reference to substitute the blood purifier with the vertical riser configuration device of the Horton reference since the VRC creates turbulence at the top of the column that result in

having the microorganisms being more effectively radiated by the UV light beam as disclosed by the Horton reference (col.8, lines 5-7).

With respect to claims 31 and 53, the Goodrich reference teaches that the blood purifier, which includes a housing is made up from quartz (col.8, lines 45-47).

11. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodrich, Jr. et al (U.S.P.N. 6,258,577) as applied to claim 4 and further in view of Windham et al (U.S.P.N. 6,587,575).

With respect to claim 6, the Goodrich reference fails to teach the use of a spectral calibration lamp, however, the Windham reference, which is in the art of food treatment, teaches the use of spectral calibration lamps (col.15, lines 33-35). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the UV lamp of the Goodrich reference with the spectral calibration lamp since such spectral lamps are capable of having a precise distinct wavelength peaks (the Windham reference, col.15, lines 40-44).

12. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodrich, Jr. et al (U.S.P.N. 6,258,577) as applied to claim 4 and further in view of Danilychev (U.S.P.N. 5,931,557).

With respect to claim 7, the Goodrich reference fails to teach the use of an electrodeless lamp, however, the Danilychev reference, which is in the art of UV light irradiation, teaches using electrodeless lamp (col.20, lines 33-34) and using aluminum or stainless steel as the reflective material (col.3, lines 24-28). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify the UV lamp of the Goodrich reference with an electrodeless lamp since such a lamp is known to provide high energy efficient source of Ultraviolet radiation in sterilization applications (col.1, lines 13-20).

13. Claims 9, 15-16, 19-25, 33, 35-38, 41-47, 49, 55 and 57-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodrich, Jr. et al (U.S.P.N. 6,258,577) as applied to claims 4, 14, 1, 17, 39, 48 and further in view of DiStefano (Pub. No. US2003/0045868 A1).

With respect to claims 16, 24-25 and 46-47, the Goodrich reference fails to teach a specific type of fiber optic transmission line and that the blood purifier uses enhanced two or three-dimensional design; however, the DiStefano reference, which is in the art of sterilizing blood microorganisms using UV light in combination with fiber optics, teaches a fiber optic transmission line (54 that include glass lines) is removably connectable to light source and the blood purifier (figure 4 includes two nuts where the first 56 and the second is unlabeled that connect 54 to both the light source and the blood purifier) and that the blood purifier uses enhanced two or three dimensional design (bundle of quartz optic fibers includes glass or other transparent material that transmits light by repeated internal reflections such that two and three dimensional designs are inherent features of the optic fibers line). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of the Goodrich reference by using combined UV light with visible light as taught by the DiStefano reference in order to kill bacteria, virus, fungi, molds and other unclassified pathogens present in blood (paragraph 0003 and 0018).

With respect to claims 33, 35, 41-42, 55 and 57-58, the Goodrich reference fails to teach the following: interior surface of the blood purifier is a UV reflective surface, interior of the blood purifier includes one interior optical component that is attached to the interior surfaces, a portal for removable connection to a fiber optic transmission line, portal optical component positioned between the portal and the interior of the blood purifier and interior optical component is UV transmissive. The DiStefano reference, which is in the art of sterilizing blood microorganisms using UV light in combination with fiber optics, teaches the following: interior surface of the blood purifier is a UV reflective surface (bundle of quartz optic fibers includes glass or other transparent material that transmits light by repeated internal reflections), light source optical component that is UV transmissive (54 such that bundle of quartz optic fibers includes glass or other transparent material that transmits light by repeated internal reflections), fiber optic transmission line (54 that include glass lines) is removably connectable to light source and the blood purifier (figure 4 includes two nuts where the first 56 and the second is unlabeled that connect 54 to both the light source and the blood purifier), dose zone includes a portal (56) for removable connection to fiber optic transmission line (54), portal optical component positioned between the portal opening and the interior of the blood purifier (the fiber optic 54 contains glasses that reflect UV light within the bundle sheath such that any glass within 54 is a portal optical component positioned between the portal opening and the interior of the blood purifier 32), portal optical component is UV reflective that is made up of reflectors (bundle of quartz optic fibers includes glass or other transparent material that transmits light by repeated internal reflections), blood

purifier includes one interior optical component that is attached to the interior surfaces (the interior surfaces of 32 inherently include optical components made of glass that are attached to such surfaces) and the reflective interior optical components are both UV transmissive and reflective (bundle of quartz optic fibers includes glass or other transparent material that transmits light by repeated internal reflections). As a result, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of the Goodrich reference by using combined UV light with visible light as taught by the DiStefano reference in order to kill bacteria, virus, fungi, molds and other unclassified pathogens present in blood (paragraph 0003 and 0018).

With respect to claims 9, 15, 19-23 and 43-45, the Goodrich reference fails to teach the following: UV lamp emits UVC wavelengths, the fiber optic transmission line is removably connectable to the light source and the blood purifier, the dose zone includes a portal for removable connection to a fiber optic transmission line, portal optical component positioned between the portal opening and the interior of the blood purifier, portal optical component is UV transmissive, portal optical component is UV reflective and types of portal optical components. The DiStefano reference, which is in the art of sterilizing blood microorganisms using UV light in combination with fiber optics, teaches the following: a UV lamp that emits light in the UVV and UVC wavelengths (52 and paragraph 0016), light source optical component that is UV transmissive and UV reflective (54 such that bundle of quartz optic fibers includes glass or other transparent material that transmits light by repeated internal reflections), fiber optic transmission line

(54 that include glass lines) is removably connectable to light source and the blood purifier (figure 4 includes two nuts where the first 56 and the second is unlabeled that connect 54 to both the light source and the blood purifier), dose zone includes a portal (56) for removable connection to fiber optic transmission line (54), portal optical component positioned between the portal opening and the interior of the blood purifier (the fiber optic 54 contains glasses that reflect UV light within the bundle sheath such that any glass within 54 is a portal optical component positioned between the portal opening and the interior of the blood purifier 32), portal optical component is both UV transmissive and reflective that is made up of reflectors (bundle of quartz optic fibers includes glass or other transparent material that transmits light by repeated internal reflections) and the interior optical components are both UV transmissive and reflective (bundle of quartz optic fibers includes glass or other transparent material that transmits light by repeated internal reflections). As a result, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of the Goodrich reference by using combined UV light with visible light as taught by the DiStefano reference in order to kill bacteria, virus, fungi, molds and other unclassified pathogens present in blood (paragraph 0003 and 0018).

With respect to claims 36-38, 49 and 59-60, the Goodrich reference teaches the following: interior optical component is UV transmissive (intrinsic property of fiber optics to totally transmit light through internal reflection), interior optical component is UV reflective (intrinsic property of fiber optics whose internal core is made up of material with high refractive index), interior optical components are reflectors (intrinsic property

of fiber optics whose internal core is made up of material with high refractive index) and the delivery device (inactivated blood at the end of the volume within the housing 164 is delivered to line 188) includes an end-emitting fiber optic transmission line (figure 7:unlabeled end of 162,connected to 164).

14. Claims 32 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodrich, Jr. et al (U.S.P.N. 6,258,577) in view of Horton et al (U.S.P.N. 6,454,937) as applied to claims 28 and 50 and further in view of Goss (U.S.P.N. 4,705,498).

With respect to claims 32 and 54, both the Goodrich reference and the Horton reference fail to teach the concept of a disposable blood purifier. Figure 1, 20 in the instant application shows the blood purifier as the treatment chamber. The Goss reference, which is in the art of irradiating biological fluids, teaches the concept of having a disposable irradiation chambers (col.1, lines 15-17). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of the Goodrich reference to include a disposable blood purifier as taught by the Goss reference in order to maintain sterility of the procedure (col.10, lines 40-44 and lines 57-62).

15. Claims 34 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodrich, Jr. et al (U.S.P.N. 6,258,577) in view of DiStefano (Pub. No. US2003/0045868 A1) as applied to claims 33 and 55 and further in view of Danilychev (U.S.P.N. 5,931,557).

With respect to claims 34 and 56, both the Goodrich reference and the DiStefano reference fail to teach using aluminum or stainless steel as the reflective material. The Danilychev reference, which is in the art of UV light irradiation, teaches using aluminum or stainless steel as the reflective material (col.3, lines 24-28). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of the Goodrich reference to include aluminum since aluminum is used as a reflective material as evidenced by the Danilychev reference (col.3, lines 24-26).

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments filed 05/12/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Goodrich reference is applied to show that sterilizing blood through an extracorporeal chamber by using UV light in combination with fiber optic is known. The chamber has an inlet and an outlet.

Conclusion

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MONZER R. CHORBAJI whose telephone number is (571) 272-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

20. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN KIM can be reached on (571) 272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

21. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monzer R. Chorbaji MRC
Patent Examiner
AU 1744
07/19/2005


JOHN KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER